



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE | | ING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/161,073 09/25/1998 | | 9/25/1998 | PI-WEI CHIN | SA9-98-050 | 7136 |
| 25696 | 7590 | 02/25/2002 | | | |
| OPPENHEIMER WOLFF & DONNELLY | | | | EXAMINER | |
| P. O. BOX 10356 PALO ALTO, CA 94303 | | | | BASHORE, WILLIAM L | |
| | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | | 2176 | |

DATE MAILED: 02/25/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

NG

Application No.

Appli t(s)

46

Interview Summary

09/161,073

Examiner

William L. Bashore

Group Art Unit 2176

Chin et al.

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) William L. Bashore (USPTO) (703) 308-5807 (2) François Devilliers (650) 320-4128 (4) Date of Interview Feb 22, 2002 Type: a) 🛛 Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal (copy is given to 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 3 Identification of prior art discussed: Motoyama, Levy Agreement with respect to the claims f) \square was reached. g) \square was not reached. h) \square N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed differences between claimed limitations and the cited art of record. Suggested expanding a predefined passage to include cultural/regional differences between languages (if supported by Disclosure). Examiner will consider a predefined paragraph of text. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) X It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked). Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.